


# Neutralizer



The study compared the energetic evaluations of the subject while carrying on a cell phone conversation without and with an Autterra Neutralizer attached to the cell phone. Differences detected are summarized as follows:


- 51% of all imbalances were eliminated by adding the Neutralizer
- 66% of all “Split” meridians were eliminated by adding the Neutralizer
- Splits, being the most significant finding, were also the most improved

The following table summarizes the results:

Age	Sex	Without Neutralizer		With Neutralizer		Number Improved		Percent Improved	
		Split Meridians	Total Imbalanced Meridians	Split Meridians	Total Imbalanced Meridians	Splits Improved	Total Improved	% Splits Improved	% Total Improved
37	M	2	7	0	4	2	3	100%	43%
35	M	1	6	1	1	0	5	0%	83%
28	M	2	2	0	1	2	1	100%	50%
52	F	4	6	3	3	1	3	25%	50%
42	F	6	8	1	2	5	6	83%	75%
55	M	6	7	3	3	3	4	50%	57%
36	M	3	4	0	2	3	2	100%	50%
26	F	3	7	1	6	2	1	67%	14%
37	M	2	4	1	3	1	1	50%	25%
<b>Total</b>		<b>29</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>51%</b>

The Autterra Neutralizer product clearly shows a benefit to the cell phone user in the form of reduced energetic imbalances in the acupuncture meridians while using a cell phone. “Split” meridians, in particular, benefit from this interaction.

Figure 1




#### A. Electromagnetic Fields from Cell Phones Effect DNA Recovery

The results in Table 1 demonstrate the effect of EM fields from cell phones on DNA rewinding after heat shock. In the absence of EM fields (control experiments) a negative slope for DNA rewinding is obtained. A less negative value for the slope reflects a slower rewinding rate. Therefore, in the absence of EM fields the average slope was  $-0.877 \pm 0.041$ . In the presence of EM fields from the cell phone the slope had an average value of  $-0.687 \pm 0.189$ . This indicates that the EM field from the cell phone produced a 22% slowing down of DNA rewinding. This detrimental effect of EM fields from the cell phone is highly significant compared to the untreated control ( $p < 0.02$ ).

Table 1


	Average Slope	SD	n	p (vs control)
Control	-0.877	0.041	6	
Cell Phone	-0.687	0.189	8	0.02
Cell Phone +Neutralizer	-0.872	0.063	6	NS

#### I. Spectra at 94.5 MHz




Since in this experiment each box is 7dBm high, the average background value was 45 dBm. The cell phone signal showed strong peaks reaching a maximum value of 66 dBm. In the presence of the Neutralizer the same cell phone produced signals with an intensity corresponding to 50dBm. Subtracting the background contribution to the signal, the cell phone signal was 21dBm and the neutralized cell phone signal was 5dBm. This corresponds to a 76% (4-fold) reduction of the signal in the presence of the Neutralizer.

#### II. Spectra at 94.2 MHz



In this portion of the EM spectrum, it is clearly visible that the cell phone signal in the presence of the Neutralizer is quantitatively and qualitatively similar to that obtained from the



**Neutralizer** を足三里に貼って正常のテロメアを上げるデモンストレーション